PEORIA HERCANTILE LIBRARY JAN 14 1869

The Chicago Tribune.

VOL. XXII. CHICAGO, THURSDAY, JANUARY 14, 1869. NUMBER 195.

WASHINGTON.

Proceedings in Congress Yesterday.

The Banks Protectorate Scheme Summarily Disposed of in the House.

The Alaska Territorial Bill Defeated in the House.

A Nolle Prosequi to be Entered in all Treason Cases.

Authentic Denial of the World Story Concerning Conversations with General Grant.

Special Dispatch to the Chicago Tribune.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 13.

A WORD FROM GRANT.

General Grant authorized the statement that the opinion written by an occasional correspondent of the New York World and purporting to be addressed to conventions or furnish opinions in regard to public men and public matters, are utterly false and without foundation. He further states that he has never written, nor has anything of the kind been dictated to or shown such conversations to those reported. General Grant would not deem it becoming in him to contradict the article in each case, and if they simply affected himself, but as they are evidently written with a view to embroil his name with prominent gentlemen, most of whom are personal or political friends, or at least to engage their just sensibilities, he desires in this instance from his usual course, and pronounces the article alluded to the direct, intelligent and unprincipled to an EXTRAORDINARY degree.

IN THE SENATE.

The Senate during the morning hour was chiefly occupied with the bill transferring certain lands from State to United States Courts, which was finally passed. The necessity for it lay in the fact that the State courts in the South will not hold sessions while Northern citizens. The Southerners come to, and Messrs. Williams and Sprague spoke against the bill. Mr. Sawyer gave notice that he to-morrow on the same subject. The unfinished resolution, giving the rotunda and old Hall of Representatives was, after debate, passed by—yeas, 36; nays, 8, as follows:

YEAS.			
Anthony,	Fessenden,	Ross,	Spencer,
Cameron,	Fowler,	Sherman,	Stewart,
Chandler,	Frelinghuysen,	Sprague,	Sumner,
Conkling,	Harlan,	Stockton,	Thayer,
Conness,	Howard,	Sumner,	Trumbull,
Corbett,	Howe,	Tipton,	Van Winkle,
Cragin,	Kirkwood,	Wade,	Whyte,
Creswell,	McCreery,	Warner,	Willey,
Edmunds,	McDonald,	Willey,	Yates.
Ferry,	Morrill, (Me.)	Williams,	
Fogg,	Morton,	Wilson,	
	NAYS.		
Davis,	Hendricks,	Nye,	Sawyer,
Dixon,	Johnson,	Patterson, (N. H.)	Vickers,
Doolittle,	Morrill, (Vt.)	Pool,	Wilson,
Guthrie,	Norton,	Saulsbury,	Yeates.
	ABSENT.		
Buckalew,	Patterson, (Tenn.)	Robertson,	

THE NEWS

went two hours upon General Banks' protectorate scheme, and had a very fair debate, in which a good many members participated. Mr. Schenck's closing the only one who supported it with any vigor. The bill was then tabled by yeas 94, nays 71.

The scheme did not prove to be near so large as its friends believed.

THE ALASKA TERRITORIAL BILL

met same as at the hands of Mr. Ashley, of Ohio, and was at once attacked by Mr. Washburne and others on the ground that there was no occasion, as yet, for a government up there and present. Pendleton said it would cost fifty or sixty thousand dollars pretty much to get the rest of the territory into shape for a government. Mr. Farnsworth said there was more than two hundred thousand dollars in the country of the people and corporations who were already taxed to the amount of the estimate. He was filled so effectually that there were not enough members to get the yeas and nays.

MISCELLANEOUS.

Mr. Clarke, of Kansas, read a long written speech in favor of the bill, and said that the Indians they Cangi fell with at Fort Light up so as to be first thing to-morrow.

THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES.

The Committee of the Currency sends a letter to Congress, showing the following statistics relative to the National Banks:

Amount of dividends declared by National Banking Association, since organization, semi-annually, \$130,949,939

Amount credited to the surplus account, 49,049,

Amount credited to the surplus account, 49,818,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1865, 25,520

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1866, 2,966,911

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1867, 169,174

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1868, 14,139,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1869, 14,000,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1870, 6,661,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1871, 3,000,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1872, 119,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1873, 1,000,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1874, 1,000,

Amount of undivided profits for the year 1875, 467,

THE DOMESTIC BALL

The Committee of Arrangements of the inaugural ball in the rotunda of the Capitol, gives satisfaction to Republicans of all shades of opinion. Every member of the committee is a stock in whom it came before there body yesterday, the Presley among the members and Mr. Ashley, of Ohio, who was not present, but who is in favor. Mr. Ashley, of Ohio, who was not present, but who is in favor. Mr. Ashley, of Ohio, who was not present, but who is in favor. Mr. Ashley, of Ohio, who was not present, but who is in favor.

Mr. BUTLER said the system of government in the Southern States was not a Republican form of government, but a military despotism. He objected to the bill because it was not in accordance with the Constitution of the United States. He believed in the Union as it was, and he was opposed to any measure that would destroy it.

Mr. SCHENCK said he did not require the interposition of our government officers, and his substitute was carefully guarded, saying that the provisions of the bill should not apply to the case of citizens. The simple question was in the case of citizens. The simple question was in the case of citizens. The simple question was in

The Tribune.

THURSDAY, JANUARY 16, 1890.

TO CONTRACTORS AND OTHERS.

Sealed proposals will be received at the office of the Board of Trustees of Public Schools, in the City of Springfield, Illinois, until 12 o'clock, noon, on the 15th day of February, A. D. 1890, for the following amounts of Bonds of said City, to-wit:

Bonds, denomination, $500 each, payable ... $125,000
Bonds, denomination, $500 each, payable ... 75,000
Bonds, denomination, $500 each, payable ... 50,000

Said Bonds will bear interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of five per cent per annum, and will be issued in accordance with the provisions of an Act of the General Assembly of the State of Illinois, entitled "An Act to provide for the Organization of Cities and Incorporated Towns," approved April 10, 1872, and in force July 1, 1872, and all Acts amendatory thereof and supplemental thereto.

Said Bonds will be delivered to the purchaser at the office of the Board of Trustees of Public Schools, in the City of Springfield, Illinois, on the 15th day of March, A. D. 1890, and must be paid for on delivery.

Bidders will state the price they will pay for said Bonds, and no bid will be considered unless accompanied by a certified check for two per cent of the amount of Bonds bid for, payable to the order of the President of the Board of Trustees of Public Schools, which will be forfeited to the Board of Trustees of Public Schools in case the bidder to whom the Bonds are awarded shall refuse to take and pay for the same when delivered.

The Board of Trustees of Public Schools reserve the right to reject any and all bids.

By order of the Board of Trustees of Public Schools.

JOHN W. BUNN, President.
ATTEST: JOHN H. BARRETT, Secretary.

THE HAPEVILLE MURDER.

A shocking murder was committed in the town of Naperville, on Thursday night last. It might be called a murder in high life. The parties are, or were, moving in respectable circles, and the murdered man had held the office of Deputy United States Marshal for the Territory of Wyoming. This individual was caught, at a late hour of the night, entering the bedroom of a married woman in Naperville—caught by her husband, who shot the offender, killing him instantly. It appears that the husband had suspected on its proper intimacy between the pair, and had purposely given them an opportunity of coming together, by starting on a journey and returning unexpectedly.

It is said that the public opinion in Naperville justifies the homicide, and that a good deal of sympathy is felt for the person who committed it. We trust that this is a misstatement and a slander upon the civilization and Christianity of Naperville. If any thing unlawful was murdered that was a bad man, and the surviving woman a bad woman. Any other supposition makes the case altogether frightful. But who gave Mr. Bailey the right to take life? Mr. Laird's idea of Public opinion has improved, of late years, in this behalf. Instead of giving men and women free licenses to shoot whenever they have received a real or fancied injury of this kind, it punishes on a quite severe scale even for the offenders that they be driven out of the sight and hearing of decent people. Say what we will of the different style of treatment accorded to the male offender against the sexual commandments, as compared accorded to the female, it is a fact that the man who commits adultery loses his foothold in the respect and confidence of the community as surely as the woman, though notice yet in the same degree. Something is coming when they will be treated alike.

In the present case, who can tell whether Mr. Laird was the principal offender? His story is cut short. Whether he was the tempter or the tempted can never be known from his lips. It is stated that Mrs. Bailey had once before eloped with a Universalist clergyman. If this be true, Mr. Bailey certainly had no right to shoot anybody on her account. He had no right to shoot at all. "*Thou shalt not kill*" is a command which can never be violated by individuals, except in cases of necessity, where the public sentiment which to-day denies private killing is essentially barbarous and murderous. The consequences which follow from such killing are very grave. It seldom happens to any man that he may pass through life without having friendships, or being in situations which may lead some one to think that he is no better than he should be, and if this fact happens to be known to the public, which has a free pass from the judge and jury to shoot at random, then in strictness of speech no man's life is safe.

THE CITY SEWERAGE.

The Board of Public Works have called attention to the necessity of reforming the present system of raising money to construct sewers. One system contemplates the construction of many miles of sewer annually as can be finished in the working season; to do this work, money is raised annually by the sale of city bonds, for the ultimate payment of which there is no other source than taxation. Thus, in 1861, the construction of sewers was fifty-three and three-fourths miles, at an expense of $977,457, of which $666,277 was for sewers; $7,726 for cleaning; $46,719 for interest; $63,237 for sinking fund; and $74,477 for salaries. It will be seen that up to that date the interest on the borrowed money had amounted to nearly twenty-five per cent of the amount expended for sewers, and to the end of 1869 there had been constructed 110 miles of sewers, at an aggregate cost of $3,546,029. Of this sum, $1,993,166 had been expended for construction; $119,987 for cleaning; $44,491 for repairs; $1,053,530 for interest; and $1,016,075.76 for salaries.

During the twelve years of the system the sum of $836,000 had been raised by direct taxation to pay interest, and the sum of $234,418 for the payment of the debt. Assuming the latter sum to have been paid, we have a sewer debt of about $6,000,000, bearing seven per cent interest. The interest paid last year on this debt was $126,000; $35,000 for cleaning and repairs, and $150,000 for salaries, making an aggregate of $170,000, while the whole expenditure for new sewers did not exceed $171,000.

The fact is that we have reached that point where it will be too expensive to raise money by direct taxation to build the sewers, and borrow to pay the interest on the debt, than to borrow to build, and collect the interest by taxation. The figures for the year 1869-0 will illustrate: Sinking fund, $31,000; for interest, $120,949; sinking fund, $31,000; salaries, $19,000; total, $170,327. Raised by sale of bonds for construction, repairs and salaries, $106,200. Next year the interest on this bonds will be $140,000, and as long as we borrow the money to build, the interest on the debt will grow in excess of the construction fund with almost arithmetical proportion. Is it not time to abandon that system, which exhausts our means in the payment of interest, and threatens to suspend construction of the sewers?

Taking the present limits of the city as a guide, we have 600 miles of streets, of which 110 miles are sewered. Every year the cost of repairing and cleaning increases; last year it reached $55,000, or $527 per mile. This regularly increasing rate is taken from the proceeds of the bonds sold annually, and is so much drawn from the construction fund. To construct 50 miles of sewer annually will take 37 years to sewer the present streets needing that improvement. The present average cost of constructing sewers is $18,000 per mile; while the present actual expenditure, including annual interest, repairs, salaries, cleaning and cleaning, reaches seven new $40,000 a mile, and that is increased by every new loan.

The annual rent raised by loan is so much increased by the previous charge upon it that but a small portion of it only remains for construction purposes. Ought we not to stop borrowing money when that policy results in the cost of constructing sewers from $18,000 to $40,000 a mile, and nearly one-half of which is raised by direct taxation? The total cost of ten miles of sewer next year will be $400,000, of which $175,000 will be raised by direct taxation. The city has no sinking fund. The rent will be by loan, the annual interest upon which will be added to the cost of all future construction thereafter.

There is necessarily a great evil every year in the system. The public works are not finished, and consequently, as long as we go on borrowing money to build, we are chargeable with the interest on the bonds which we have issued, before the sewers are completed. The money borrowed is not all expended in the year in which it is borrowed, but is expended in the year following. The money borrowed in 1869 will be spent in 1870, and the interest on the bonds will be paid in 1870. Thus the interest on the debt will grow with almost arithmetical proportion. Is it not time to abandon that system, which exhausts our means in the payment of interest, and threatens to suspend construction of the sewers?

Taking the present limits of the city as a guide, we have 600 miles of streets, of which 110 miles are sewered. Every year the cost of repairing and cleaning increases; last year it reached $55,000, or $527 per mile. This regularly increasing rate is taken from the proceeds of the bonds sold annually, and is so much drawn from the construction fund. To construct 50 miles of sewer annually will take 37 years to sewer the present streets needing that improvement. The present average cost of constructing sewers is $18,000 per mile; while the present actual expenditure, including annual interest, repairs, salaries, cleaning and cleaning, reaches seven new $40,000 a mile, and that is increased by every new loan.

The annual rent raised by loan is so much increased by the previous charge upon it that but a small portion of it only remains for construction purposes. Ought we not to stop borrowing money when that policy results in the cost of constructing sewers from $18,000 to $40,000 a mile, and nearly one-half of which is raised by direct taxation? The total cost of ten miles of sewer next year will be $400,000, of which $175,000 will be raised by direct taxation. The city has no sinking fund. The rent will be by loan, the annual interest upon which will be added to the cost of all future construction thereafter.

There is necessarily a great evil every year in the system. The public works are not finished, and consequently, as long as we go on borrowing money to build, we are chargeable with the interest on the bonds which we have issued, before the sewers are completed. The money borrowed is not all expended in the year in which it is borrowed, but is expended in the year following. The money borrowed in 1869 will be spent in 1870, and the interest on the bonds will be paid in 1870. Thus the interest on the debt will grow in excess of the construction fund

SPRINGFIELD.

The State Institutions.

The Experimental School for Idiots and Feeble-Minded Children.

Third and Fourth Reports.

From Our Own Correspondent.

SPRINGFIELD, Jan. 11.

The third and fourth reports of the Directors of the Deaf and Dumb Institution in relation to the School for Imbeciles, which has been under their direction, together with the third and fourth reports of the Superintendent, were sent to the Legislature yesterday in pamphlet form, and will be published, printing a detailed statement of receipts and expenditures for the two years ending November 30 of that year, and a statistical report of the pupils received in conformity with the law of 1867.

In the fourth report, now first made public, is contained the history of the establishment of the school of that character, the Trustees having collected the following:

"The State has long recognized her obligation to care for and educate the deaf and dumb, the blind and the idiotic children of her citizens, when such aid could not be rendered by local authorities. She would seem to owe no less obligation as to the duty in relation to those classes, as yet ill-defined, who are neither deaf, dumb, blind nor idiotic, but who they can be incorrectly termed feeble-minded. Such children are a burden to the family and to the community, and it is impossible to the public mind for the care and education of such children. Justifying the considerations in the premises are of no less weight.

"In the case of vagabondage in the State on account of charity. In no other class can the children be more successfully reached than in the schools of the State. Many of them are now entirely lost to the State. Many of the efforts at the time of the bill of sale. It was over thirty-three years ago, when the first institution for the deaf and dumb was opened, with only twenty-three pupils, and that number was considered large. Now there are nearly four hundred pupils in the institutions of the country, many of which are crowded to their utmost capacity. Applications are daily received from parents, guardians and friends for admission into the institutions, when, without room, they are compelled to refuse them. Many are received from other States, and from foreign countries, on account of the superior facilities for instruction afforded in this State. The vessel in which the 11th day of January, 1869, on my charge, was wrecked on the coast of Connecticut, on my way to Illinois, and I was compelled to remain there for a time. I found a friend there in the person of Mr. Dudley, who had been a classmate of mine at the Deaf and Dumb Institution in Hartford, and who was then a teacher in the Institution for the Deaf and Dumb, in Hartford. He told Mr. Cadena to inform me that I was welcome to my money and options was, and that I was welcome to it.

"I stated 'there have been sixty-nine pupils under instruction during the year.'

"I am sorry to say that I was misled in giving to the committee of which I was a member, the number of pupils in the following language:

"'A deaf mute child of school age has a right to the instruction of either and should be educated at the expense of the State.' I have since ascertained that the number of persons of school age in the State is about two hundred and twenty-five, and the number of deaf and dumb persons of school age is about one hundred and twenty-five

ASSASSINATION.

Terrible Tragedy in Naperville, Du Page County.

A Jilted Lover and Kills His Wife's Paramour.

He Also Makes a Desperate Assault Upon the Guilty Woman.

The Slain Man a Deputy U. S. Marshal.

Circumstances Attending the "Trapping" of the Guilty Pair.

Great Excitement in Consequence of the Murder.

Arrest of the Assassin—How He Conducted Himself.

Particulars of the Tragedy.

From Our Own Reporter.

NAPERVILLE, Ill., Jan. 14, 1869.

About eight years ago, a young man from Pembroke County, New York, came out West to seek his fortune. He settled in the town of Naperville, in DuPage County. His name was Chauncey Bellett. While at his brother's house he became acquainted with a young lady named Daughaday, a former whose land adjoined his own. She was a comely woman. She is represented by young and fresh as her native plants. She had admirers, as all young girls have, and, like them, too, looked upon one with much favor. So she gave the preference to Bellett, and finally became his wife. Soon after the nuptial knot was tied, and to him her troth was plighted. It was at this juncture that the now-cursed ingredient upon the scene. She enticed the husband and neighbor was the prize. The prize, however, was not with her constant personality that she admired the conduct of parents in matters of the kind, and finished upon her rejecting the man she loved, and accepting one of the choice of her heart, and not of hers. In an evil moment she did so, and was married to the man of her choice. Soon after the knot was tied. The father became then a doter, where they lived for two years, and lived with each other as they might. About this time a child was born to them. It proved a source of joy to the parents.

TROUBLE.

Of course every one has a theory. Some said Mr. Bellett had set a trap for Mr. Laird, and

THE TRAP.

To an neighbor, they flew in his device.

Others agreed with them, and said it was premeditated. But Mr. Bellett knew of his wife's previous intimacy, and that the husband had been accustomed to join on his trips. There were some to accuse Mr. Bellett, but the majority of the people, including traveller returns, and whoever testimony connects not with the murder. Mr. Bellett, on the other hand, were those who asserted that he had a victim to the passion of jealousy, and that he had been driven to desperation by the conduct of his wife and Laird. He had a family of his own, residing at Pembroke, New York, and was not ignorant of the fact that his wife had left him for another.

THE MURDER.

That connected with the murder of Laird, there is no doubt. Bellett had him in the house, and immediately after, with a double-barrelled gun, shot him dead. Then he went to the house of Mr. Hamilton, of the First District, and gave himself up to the officers of the law. Mr. Hamilton, and Q. Lott, of the Wabash Avenue Police Station, were the officers to whom he gave himself up. At the time of the murder, Mrs. Bellett was at the residence of Mr. Hamilton, on the opposite side of the street.

THE TRIAL.

On the return to Naperville the examination of the prisoner took place before Justice Hall, and resulted in his being committed to the county jail, where he now remains. The examination was conducted by Messrs. Lodge and Hall, for the prosecution, and Messrs. Bonfield and Barker, for the defence. The latter gentleman is the counsel for Mrs. Bellett.

THE TRAP.

Such was the train of affairs on Tuesday morning. Laird, as he left the house, was met by Mrs. Bellett, and was told that her husband was absent. Laird, however, was not to be deterred from his purpose, and went into the house. Mrs. Bellett followed him. Laird then went to the bedroom of Mrs. Bellett, and there found the trap. He was then shot by Mr. Bellett, who was concealed in the room. Laird fell dead upon the floor. Mrs. Bellett, on hearing the report of the gun, ran to the house, and, finding Laird dead, ran out of the house, and, finding her husband in the yard, said to him, "You have killed him, you have killed him!" Mr. Bellett replied, "Yes, I have killed him, and I am glad of it." Mrs. Bellett then went to the house of Mr. Hamilton, and informed him what had occurred. Mr. Hamilton, with Lott, went to the house of Mr. Bellett, and found the body of Laird lying on the floor, with his head in a pool of blood. Mr. Bellett was then arrested, and taken to the jail.

THE DECEASED.

Mr. Laird was a native of Scotland, and was about forty-five years of age. He was a deputy United States Marshal, and was well known in Chicago. He was also well known in Naperville, where he has been frequently engaged in the service of writs. He was a man of fine appearance, and was highly esteemed by his friends. He has left a wife and family in Chicago, who have been informed of the sad event.

THE ASSASSIN.

Mr. Bellett is a native of Pembroke, New York. He is about forty years of age, and is a man of fine appearance. He is a man of fine appearance, and is a man of fine appearance. He is a man of fine appearance, and is a man of fine appearance. He is a man of fine appearance